



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,751	11/20/2001	Manabu Nishizawa	100809-00092 (SCEY 19.188	9662
26304	7590 02/11/2004		EXAM	NER
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE			ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
	NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714 DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	09/989,751	NISHIZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven Ashburn	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6).MONTHS.from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 November 2001.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed an inference of the control of the		by the Evernines			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/989,751

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The analysis of whether an invention is non-statutory is a two-prong test. First, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. Second, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. See, State Street Bank and Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group Inc., 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02 (Fed. Cir. 1998). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed Cir. 1994).

In this case, claims 1 and 2 are non-statutory because they do describe the application, use or advancement of a technological art. Notably, claim 3, which depends upon claim 1, meets this standard because it includes the feature of an operation means.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are non-statutory because they describe a computer program which is not stored on a computer-readable medium.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,751

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for-the-rejections-under-this-section made_in_this_Office_action:____

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by UK Patent Application GB 2,136,303 A to McNeight et al.

McNeight discloses a game in which a player with a telephone receiver remotely controls an object by commands which are automatically converted to control signals. *See abstract*. The commands may be voice commands converted to control signals by a speech recognition arrangement. *See id*. Alternatively, a standard TV game control console may be used, the joystick and push button being connected to a tone generator and the tones being transmitted telephonically to the studio for conversion by a computer into control signals for the TV game. *See id*. The features of the claimed invention that are taught by McNeight are discussed below.

Claims 1, 4, 7 and 10. McNeight discloses the following features of the claims:

- a. Recognizing a voice. See p. 1:40-49, 1:98-104.
- b. Determining a parameter correlated to an object. *See id.* In particular, voice commands are recognized and converted into signals which alter parameters correlated to a game object's position, rotation, or action.
- c. Controlling the object based on the altered parameter. *See id.* In particular, the alternated parameter controls the object the change position, rotate or perform and action. For example, the verbal command "shoot" changes a parameter in the game software causing the display of the game object firing at a target.

Art Unit: 3714

As listed above, McNeight teaches every feature of the claims. Consequently, the claims are unpatentable because they are anticipated by McNeight.

Claims 2, 5 and 8: McNeight discloses moving an object along a predetermined path. *See id.* In particular, the reference describes the use of voice commands to control the well known video game SPACE INVADERS released in 1978 by Bally-Midway. *See p. 1:8-10, 1:98-104*. The game requires the player to control a cannon to slide left and right along a predetermined path. Hence, McNeight anticipated the feature of moving an object along a predetermined path.

Claims 3, 6 and 9: McNeight discloses controlling the object in response to operating through an operating means. See p. 1"50-67, 1:98-104.

Prior Art, Not Relied On

The following prior art of record is not relied upon but is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- a. 'Coin-Op Museum: Space Invaders' (1995), downloaded form http://www.klov.com/game_detail.php?letter=S&game_id=9662 on Feb. 2, 2004 describes the operation of the game SPACE INVADERS.
- b. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0161586 A1 (Oct. 31, 2003) discloses an analogous system employing voice recognition to control an object.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,751

Art Unit: 3714

c. U.S. Patent 6,538,666 B1 (Mar. 25, 2003) discloses an analogous system employing

voice recognition to control an object.

d. U.S. Patent 6,077,164 (Jun. 20, 2000) discloses an analogous system employing voice

recognition to control an object.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Steven Ashburn whose telephone number is 703 305 3543. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

s.a.

SUPERVISORY PATENT-EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5